

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/562,811	Applicant(s) SANO ET AL.	
	Examiner CHRISTOPHER C. CAILLOUET	Art Unit 1791	

All Participants:

(1) CHRISTOPHER C. CAILLOUET.

(2) David Kemeny (Attorney for Applicant).

Date of Interview: 13 February 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:25AM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
102/103 Rejections of claims 1-3, 6-10, and 13

Claims discussed:
Claims 1-3, 6-10, and 13

Prior art documents discussed:
Ivansons et al. (US 5279685), Fleischmann (US 5059270), and Sano et al. (US 6463979).

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Proposed amendments to claims concerning the controller of the apparatus were discussed in order to put the claims in condition for allowance, however, Applicant's representative stated applicant must be consulted before any amendments could be made to the claim. Immediate action was due on this case, so Applicant's representative was informed that a final rejection would have to be made.